N.C.P.I.—Civil 102.12 NEGLIGENCE ISSUE—DEFINITION OF NEGLIGENCE IN AND OF ITSELF (NEGLIGENCE *PER SE*) GENERAL CIVIL VOLUME REPLACEMENT AUGUST 2015

102.12 NEGLIGENCE ISSUE—DEFINITION OF NEGLIGENCE IN AND OF ITSELF (NEGLIGENCE *PER SE*).

Every person is (also) under a duty to follow standards of conduct enacted as laws for the safety of the public. A standard of conduct established by a safety statute must be followed.<sup>1</sup> A person's failure to do so is negligence in and of itself.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Aldridge v. Hasty, 240 N.C. 353, 360, 82 S.E.2d 331, 338 (1954). "A public safety statute is one impos[ing] upon [the defendant] a specific duty for the protection of others." Pope v. Bridge Broom, Inc., \_\_\_\_ N.C. App. \_\_\_\_, 770 S.E.2d 702, 715 (2015) (citing Stein v. Asheville City Bd. of Educ., 360 N.C. 321, 326, 626 S.E.2d 263, 266 (2006) (internal citations omitted)). Recommendations, guidance and options that do not impose a specific duty are insufficient to establish negligence per se. Id. at 717.

<sup>2</sup> Hinnant v. Holland, 92 N.C. App. 142, 147, 374 S.E.2d 152, 155 (1988), appeal denied, 324 N.C. 335, 378 S.E.2d 792 (1989). If a safety statute provides to the contrary, the jury should be instructed that a violation of this statute does not constitute negligence in and of itself. See Mintz v. Foster, 35 N.C. App. 638, 641-42, 242 S.E.2d 181, 183-84 (1978).